1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 4 5 6 7 HAROLD BENFORD, Plaintiff, CV F 05 00183 REC WMW P 8 9 ORDER RE MOTION (DOC 9) VS. 10 11 K. MENDOZA-POWERS, et al., Defendants. 12 13 14 Plaintiff has filed a motion requesting change of venue. In addition to the 15 generalized allegation that plaintiff has little chance of receiving a fair trial, plaintiff states that 16 17 "this institution has family members employed at the Fresno Eastern District Court." Plaintiff offers no specific factual allegations, and proffers no legal argument or authority to support his 18 motion. Venue is proper in the Fresno Division of the Eastern District. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). 19 Plaintiff's motion for change of venue is therefore denied. 20 21 22 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 Dated: <u>May 13, 2005</u> 25 /s/ William M. Wunderlich UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE j14hj0 26